45

sphere having less than about 10000 ppm oxygen. More preferably, the atmosphere surrounding the polymerizable material contains less than about 1000 ppm oxygen. Even more preferably, the surrounding atmosphere contains less than about 100 ppm oxygen, while the most preferred 5 oxygen content is less than about 20 ppm.

In the aforementioned embodiment, the prepolymer mixture must be degassed prior to polymerization. The degassing may be accomplished by a number of techniques known in the art. One technique for degassing the prepolymer mixture involves the use of a series of freezing and thawing steps which are repeated until the appropriate gas concentration level is achieved in the prepolymer mixture. This freeze/thaw method involves cooling the prepolymer mixture until the mixture solidifies, applying a vacuum to the solidified prepolymer mixture, discontinuing the vacuum, and thawing the prepolymer mixture until the mixture is again in liquid form. While this degassing technique is advantageous in a laboratory setting, other degassing techniques known in the art may be more advantageous for commercial lens manufacturing processes.

Alternatively, the atmosphere surrounding the lens mold may include oxygen, under certain conditions. For example, if the lens mold halves seal adequately to one another and the lens mold material has a low rate of oxygen permeability 25 (e.g., polypropylene), it is possible to polymerize a degassed prepolymer mixture in a mold surrounded by ambient air without reaching prepolymer oxygen concentrations sufficiently high to substantially reduce ion or water permeability of the final lens. Thus, in another preferred embodiment of 30 double-sided molding, the lens is formed by the following steps: (1) the prepolymer mixture is degassed, (2) a lens mold half is filled with the prepolymer mixture, (3) the mold halves are sealed to one another, and (4) the polymerization is initiated to form the lens, where the lens mold halves are 35 formed from a material having a low oxygen permeability and steps (2)-(4) may occur in the presence or absence of oxygen. In this embodiment, it is preferred that the lens molds are stored in an inert substantially oxygen-free atmosphere, e.g., nitrogen or carbon dioxide, prior to use.

An essential feature of the manufacturing methods of the present innovative lenses is that a balance of high oxygen permeability and high ion permeability is achieved. Manufacturing techniques and conditions which result in lowering either the oxygen permeability or the ion permeability below 45 levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement during periods of extended wear are unacceptable to produce the innovative extended-wear contact lenses of the present invention.

Preferably, the manufacturing method produces a contact 50 lens having a Dk/t of at least 70 barrers/mm and a Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of at least 0.2×10^{-6} cm²/sec. More preferably, the manufacturing method produces a contact lens having a Dk/t of at least 75 barrers/mm and a Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of at least 0.3×10^{-6} 55 cm²/sec. The manufacturing method preferably provides a contact lens having a Dk/t of at least 87 barrers/min and a Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of at least 0.4×10^{-6} cm²/sec.

The previous disclosure will enable one having ordinary 60 skill in the art to practice the invention. In order to better enable the reader to understand specific embodiments and the advantages thereof, reference to the following examples is suggested. However, the following examples should not be read to limit the scope of the invention.

Examples A-D are arranged in accordance with the materials defined above. Thus, Examples A-1, A-2, etc.

46

relate to Material "A" as defined above, Examples B-1, B-2, etc. relate to Material "B" as defined above, Examples C-1, C-2, etc. relate to Material "C", and Examples D-1, D-2, etc. relate to Material "D". Temperatures are stated in degrees Celsius unless otherwise specified.

Examples E, F and G are directed to demonstrating a correlation between on-eye movement and the Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient, and the Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient, respectively.

EXAMPLE A-1

A polysiloxane macromer is prepared by reacting, at room temperature (about 21 C), one mole equivalent (about 100 grams) of poly(dimethylsiloxane) dialkanol (Shin Etsu Chemical Co., Tokyo, Japan) having hydroxyethyl propoxy end groups with 2 mole equivalents (about 21.2 grams) of isophorone diisocyanate (Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, Wis, in the presence of about 0.2 grams dibutyltin dilaurate catalyst (Pfaltz & Bauer, Inc., Waterbury, Conn.). After about 48 hours reaction time, 2.02 mole equivalents (about 38.7 grams) of poly(ethylene glycol) ("PEG", about 610 g/mol Mn, Dow Chemical Corp., Midland, Mich.) and about 0.17 grams of dibutyltin dilaurate (about 0.43% by weight PEG) are added to 80 grams of the reaction product from the prior step. Sufficient chloroform (Aldrich Chemical Co.) is added to the mixture to make the mixture homogeneous. This mixture is stirred at room temperature for about 15 hours. Next, the mixture is stirred for about 8 hours at a temperature of about 44 to 48 C, with the temperature held substantially constant by a surrounding oil bath. The chloroform is then evaporated, in order to achieve a final concentration of about 50% by weight solids, by stirring the mixture at room temperature for about 8 hours. Then, about 2.14 mole equivalents (about 10.4 grams) of isocyanatoethyl methacrylate ("IEM". Monomer Polymer, Inc., Feasterville, Pa.) is added to the mixture. Finally, the mixture is covered with aluminum foil and stirred at room temperature for about 17 hours, yielding a polysiloxane-containing macromer having a numberaverage molecular weight (Mn) of about 4000 grams per mole.

The macromeric solution is then polymerized, in the presence of about 0.5 weight percent DAROCUR® 1173 photoinitiator (Ciba-Geigy Corporation, Ardsley, N.Y.) to form contact lenses. Polypropylene contact lens molds are filled with the copolymer precursor solution. Ultraviolet light (about 300 to 400 nm) at about 3–6 mW/cm² is applied to the solution in the mold for about 3 hours at room temperature. The UV light, in conjunction with the photoinitiator, causes polymerization, thereby allowing the solution to form a contact lens having the shape of the mold. The lenses are extracted with isopropanol to remove the remaining chloroform solvent and any unreacted components. The product is a polysiloxane-containing polymeric contact lens.

Prior to taking oxygen permeability measurements, the lenses are hydrated by placing the lenses in isotonic buffered saline solution for at least eight hours. After hydration, if necessary because of handling, each lens is cleaned with MRAFLOW® Daily Cleaner (CIBA Vision Corporation, Duluth, Ga.) to remove grease and lipids prior to testing. Excess MIRAFLOW® cleaner is removed by rinsing with saline or purified water.

Oxygen fluxes (J) are measured at 34 C in a wet cell (i.e., gas steams are maintained at about 100% relative humidity)

Document 1-8

47

using a Dk1000 instrument. Oxygen transmissibility, D_e/t, is determined as outlined in the portions of the specification relating to oxygen permeability and transmissibility.

EXAMPLE A-2

A polysiloxane macromer is first prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-1.

A copolymer precursor solution is prepared by mixing about 180 grams polysiloxane-containing macromer, about 15 grams 3-methacryloxypropyltris (trimethylsiloxy) silane 10 (Shin Etsu), about 4 grams 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate ("HEMA"), about one gram ethylene glycol dimethacrylate ("EDGMA"), and about one gram DAROCUR® 1173 photoinitiator at room temperature for about 16 hours.

The copolymer precursor solution is then polymerized to 15 form contact lenses. Polypropylene contact lens molds are filled with the copolymer precursor solution. Ultraviolet light (about 300 to 400 nm) at about 3-6 mW/cm² is applied to the solution in the mold for about 3 hours at room temperature. The UV light causes polymerization, thereby allowing the solution to form a contact lenses having the shape of the mold. The lens are extracted with isopropanol to remove remaining chloroform solvent and any unreacted components. A preferred resulting polymer contains about 81.8 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 13.6% TRIS, about 3.6% 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, and about 0.9% EDGMA.

The contact lens is degassed by placing the lens under suitable vacuum for a period sufficient to remove substantially all gas from the lens matrix. Fully hydrated degassed contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 87 barrers, a water content of about 19 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 2.5 MPa

EXAMPLE A-3

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 19.5 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 47% TRIS, and about 33.5% N,Ndimethylacrylamide. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 49 barrers, a water content of about 30 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 2.4 MPa.

EXAMPLE A-4

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 30 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 50% TRIS, and about 20% N,Ndimethylacrylamide. Fully hydrated contact lenses having 50 this composition have a Dk of about 76 barrers, a water content of about 20 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 1.3 MPa.

EXAMPLE A-5

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 30 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 40% TRIS, and about 30% N,Ndimethylacrylamide. Fully hydrated contact lenses having 60 this composition have a Dk of about 55 barrers, a water content of about 30 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 3.5 MPa

EXAMPLE A-6

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the

48

final composition of about 30 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 60% TRIS, and about 10% N,Ndiethylacrylamide. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 110 barrers, a water content of about 8.7 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 2.6 MPa.

EXAMPLE A-7

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 30 weight percent polysiloxane macromer and about 70% TRIS. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 128 barrers and a water content of about 4.9 weight percent.

EXAMPLE A-8

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 30 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 45% TRIS, 5% fluoroacrylate, and about 20% N.N-diethylacrylamide. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 69 barrers, a water content of about 20 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 1.4 MPa. 25

EXAMPLE A-9

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 82 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 14.4% TRIS, and about 3.7% 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 96 barrers, a water content of about 19 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 1.8 MPa.

EXAMPLE A-10

A polysiloxane macromer is prepared substantially in 40 accordance with the procedures described in Example A-1, but the polyethylene glycol has a molecular weight of about 660.

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example 2, but having the final composition of about 81.9 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 13.6% TRIS, about 3.7% 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, and about 0.8% ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 81 barrers, a water content of about 20 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 1.4

EXAMPLE A-11

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2, but having the final composition of about 82 weight percent polysiloxane macromer, about 8.6% TRIS, about 4.9% fluoroacrylate, about 3.5% 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, and about 1% EDGMA. Fully hydrated contact lenses having this composition have a Dk of about 77 barrers, a water content of about 22 weight percent, and a modulus of elasticity of about 1.3 MPa.

EXAMPLE A-12

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-1, but the

49

polysiloxane macromer used has hydroxy-sec-butyl end groups opposed to hydroxyethylpropoxy end groups. The fully hydrated contact lens, after degassing, has a Dk of about 70 barrers, about a 22 weight percent water content, and a modulus of elasticity of about 2.4 Mpa.

EXAMPLE B-1

Macromer synthesis

51.5 g (50 mmol) of the perfluoropolyether Fomblin® ¹⁰ ZDOL (from Ausimont S.p.A. Milan) having a mean molecular weight of 1030 g/mol and containing 1.96 meq/g of hydroxyl groups according to end-group titration is introduced into a three-neck flask together with 50 mg of dibutyltin dilaurate. The flask contents are evacuated to about 20 mbar with stirring and subsequently decompressed with argon. This operation is repeated twice. 22.2 g (0.1 mol) of freshly distilled isophorone diisocyanate kept under argon are subsequently added in a counterstream of argon. The temperature in the flask is kept below 30° C. by cooling with a waterbath. After stirring overnight at room temperature, the reaction is complete. Isocyanate titration gives an NCO content of 1.40 meq/g (theory: 1.35 meq/g).

202 g of the α.ω-hydroxypropyl-terminated polydimethvisiloxane KF-6001 from Shin-Etsu having a mean molecular weight of 2000 g/mol (1.00 meq/g of hydroxyl groups according to titration) are introduced into a flask. The flask contents are evacuated to approx. 0.1 mbar and decompressed with argon. This operation is repeated twice. The degassed siloxane is dissolved in 202 ml of freshly distilled toluene kept under argon, and 100 mg of dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL) are added. After complete homogenization of the solution, all the perfluoropolyether reacted with isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) is added under argon After stirring overnight at room temperature, the reaction is complete. The solvent is stripped off under a high vacuum at room temperature. Microtitration shows 0.36 meq/g of hydroxyl groups (theory 0.37 meq/g). 13.78 g (88.9 mmol) of 2-isocyanatoethyl methacrylate (IEM) are added under argon to 247 g of the α.ω-hydroxypropyl-terminated polysiloxane-perfluoropolyether-polysiloxane three-block copolymer (a three-block copolymer on stoichiometric average, but other block lengths are also present). The mixture is stirred at room temperature for three days. Microtitration then no longer shows any isocyanate groups (detection limit 0.01 meq/g). 0.34 meq/g of methacryl groups are found (theory 0.34 meq/g).

The macromer prepared in this way is completely colourless and clear. It can be stored in air at room temperature for several months in the absence of light without any change in molecular weight.

EXAMPLE B-2

Macromer synthesis

The first step of the macromer synthesis described under Example B-1 is repeated. An isocyanate titration of the perfluoropolyether reacted with IPDI gives a content of 1.33 meq/g of NCO (theory 1.35 meq/g).

In a second step, 87.1 g of the α.ω-hydroxypropylterminated polydimethylsiloxane TegomerH-Si2111 (Th. Goldschmidt AG, Essen) having a mean molecular weight of 890 g/mol (2.25 meq/g of hydroxyl groups according to titration) are dissolved in 87 ml of toluene. After the reaction has been carried out as indicated under B-1 and the solvent has been removed, a hydroxyl group content of 0.66 meq/g

50

is determined by microtitration (theory 0.60 meq/g). The resultant intermediate is in turn reacted with a stoichiometric amount of isocyanatoethyl methacrylate. Microtitration then no longer shows any isocyanate groups (detection limit 0.01 meq/g). 0.56 meq/g of methacryl groups are found (theory 0.53 meq/g). The macromer prepared in this way is completely colourless and clear and has a long shelf life.

EXAMPLE B-3

Macromer synthesis

The first step of the macromer synthesis described under Example B-1 is repeated, but using a different perfluoropolyether: Fomblin® ZDOLTX (from Ausimont S.p.A., Milan). This material is terminated by O—CF₂—CH₂—(OCH₂CH₂),—OH (where n=0, 1 or 2). The material used has a mean molecular weight of 1146 g/mol, and contains 1.72 meq/g of hydroxyl groups according to end-group analysis. An isocyanate titration of the perfluoropolyether reacted with IPDI shows a content of 1.23 meq/g of NCO (theory 1.25 meq/g).

In the second step, a stoichiometric amount of Tegomer Hi-Si2111 and toluene are again added. After the reaction has been carried out as indicated under Example B-1 and the solvent has been removed, a hydroxyl group content of 0.63 meq/g is determined by microtitration (theory 0.58 meq/g). The resultant intermediate is in turn reacted with a stoichiometric amount of isocyanatoethyl methacrylate. Microtitration then no longer shows any isocyanate groups (detection limit 0.01 meq/g). 0.55 meq/g of methacryl groups are found (theory 0.51 meq/g). The macromer prepared in this way is completely colourless and clear and has a long shelf life.

EXAMPLE B-4

Macromer synthesis

The first step of the macromer synthesis described under Example B-1 is repeated, but 5.0 g of Fomblin/ZDOL and 2.18 g of IPDI are employed. When the reaction is complete, microtitration shows an isocyanate group content of 1.31 meq/g of hydroxyl groups (theory 1.36 meq/g).

The second step of the synthesis described under Example B-1 is likewise carried out analogously, the stoichiometric ratio between isocyanate-terminated perfluoropolyether and hydroxypropyl-terminated polysiloxane being 2:3. After the reaction has been completed and the solvent is been removed, microtitration shows a content of 0.2 meq/g of hydroxyl groups (theory 0.18 meq/g).

The third step of the synthesis described under Example B-1 is likewise carried out analogously, IEM being employed in a precisely stoichiometric ratio. After the reaction, free isocyanate groups can no longer be detected (detection limit 0.01 meq/g). 0.19 meq/g of methacryl groups are found (theory 0.19 meq/g).

EXAMPLE B-5

Production of contact lenses

13.0 g of macromer from Example B-1 are dissolved in 5.6 g of ethanol (Fluka, puriss. p.a) (70% by weight solution). After complete homogenization of the solution. 5.2 g of 3-tris(trimethylsiloxy)silylpropyl methacrylate (TRIS from Shin-Etsu, product No. KF-2801). 7.8 g of freshly distilled dimethylacrylamide (DMA) and 160 mg of photoinitiator Darocur® 1173 (Ciba) are added. This solution is filtered through a Teflon membrane having a pore

Document 1-8

51

width of 0.45 mm under an argon pressure of from 1 to 2 atm. The filtered solution is frozen in a flask in liquid nitrogen, the flask is evacuated under a high vacuum, and the solution is returned to room temperature with the flask sealed. This degassing operation is repeated twice. The flask 5 containing the macromer/comonomer solution is then transferred into a glove box with an inert-gas atmosphere, where the solution is pipetted into dust-free contact-lens moulds made from polypropylene. The moulds are closed, and the polymerization reaction is effected by UV irradiation (15 10 mW/cm2, 5 min.), with simultaneous crosslinking. The moulds are then opened and placed in ethanol, causing the resultant lenses to swell out of the moulds. The lenses are extracted for 24 hours with constantly replenished distilled The dried lenses are equilibrated in phosphate-buffered physiological saline solution in autoclave-resistant vials and then autoclaved at 120° C. for 30 minutes. All physical data measurements are carried out on autoclaved lenses.

The lenses produced in this way are characterized by the 20 following values: oxygen permeability (Dk) 77 barrer (determined by the "wet" method described below), water content of the equilibrated lenses 32 percent by weight, elongation at break at 35° C. 360%, modulus of elasticity 30° C. 0.5 MPa (measured using a Minimat from Polymer 25 Laboratories, UK).

"Wet" measurement of the oxygen permeability

The oxygen permeability of a material is determined by the coulometric method. To this end, pre-autoclaved lenses are clamped in a holder and then covered on the upper side with a 2 cm layer of water. A gas mixture comprising 21% of oxygen and 79% of nitrogen is passed continuously through the water layer with swirling. The oxygen which diffuses through the lens is measured using a coulometric detector. The reference values are those measured on commercially available contact lenses using this method. Cibasoft® (CIBA-Vision, HEMA lens) gives a measurement of approx. 7-10 barrer, and Excellens® (CIBA-Vision, PVA lens) gives a measurement of approx. 22 barrer.

Unfortunately, the oxygen permeability of, for example, contact lenses is frequently given in the literature as a straight Dk value without further definition and frequently without giving any reference material. These are usually 45 values determined on dry material (dry measurement). A comparative measurement of the oxygen permeability of polymer B-5 shows the differences:

a) "wet" measurement: 77 barrer b) dry measurement: 158 barrer

EXAMPLE B-6

The process described under Example B-5 for the production of contact lenses is repeated, but the mixture of comonomers has the following composition (in per cent by 55 weight):

55% of macromer from Example B-1 22% of TRIS 22.5% of DMA 0.5% of Blemer® QA

EXAMPLE B-7

The process described under Example B-5 for the production of contact lenses is repeated, but the mixture of 65 comonomers has the following composition (in percent by weight):

52

55% of macromer from Example B-1 22% of TRIS 23 % of DMA

EXAMPLE B-8

Analogously to EXAMPLE B-5 (in weight percent): 40% of macromer from Example B-1 30% of TRIS 30% of DMA

EXAMPLE B-9

The process described under B-5 for the production of dichloromethane and subsequently dried in a high vacuum. 15 contact lenses is repeated, but a 70% by weight solution of the macromer in toluene is used instead of the 75% by weight solution in ethanol described above. The mixture of comonomers has the following composition (in percent by weight):

> 55% of macromer from Example B-1 22% of TRIS 23% of DMA

EXAMPLE B-10

The process described under B-5 for the production of contact lenses is repeated, but a 70% by weight solution of the macromer in octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane is used instead of the 75% by weight solution in ethanol described above. The mixture of comonomers has the following composition (in percent by weight):

55% of macromer from Example B-1

22% of TRIS

23% of DMA

50

Physical measurement data for the contact-lens materials from Examples B-5 to B-10 (O₂ Dk value, wet method) are presented in TABLE B-I:

TABLE B-I

Example	Water Content [%]	Dk [barrer]	Modulus of Elasticity [MPa]	Elongation of Break [%]
B-5	32	77	0.5	360
B-6	23.8	110	1.1	160
B-7	19.5	110	0.6	130
B-8	30.9	81	0.3	300
B -9	30			
B-10	25			

EXAMPLE B-11

About 10.0 grams of macromer from Example B-1 are dissolved in 3.3 grams of ethanol (Fluka, puriss. p.a.). After complete homogenization of the solution, about 4.0 grams of 3-tris(trimethylsiloxy)silylpropyl methacrylate (TRIS, from Shin-Etsu, product no. KF-2801), about 5.9 g. freshly distilled dimethylacrylamide (DMA), about 0.1 g. Blemer® QA (a methacrylate having quaternary ammonium substituents, Linz Chemie) and about 100 mg of photoinitiator Darocur® 1173 (Ciba) are added. The solution is filtered through a TEFLON membrane having a pore width of 0.45 mm under an argon pressure of from about 1 to 2

The filtered solution is frozen in a flask in liquid nitrogen, the flask is evacuated under a high vacuum, and the solution is returned to room temperature with the flask sealed. This

degassing operation is repeated twice. The flask containing the macromer/comonomer solution is then transferred into a glove box with an inert gas atmosphere, where the solution is pipetted into dust-free, polypropylene contact lens molds. The molds are closed, and the polymerization reaction is effected by UV irradiation, with simultaneous crosslinking. The molds are then opened and placed in isopropyl alcohol, causing the resultant lenses to swell out of the molds. The lenses are extracted for about 24 hours with nearly continuous replenishing of isopropyl alcohol. Subsequently, the lenses are dried under high vacuum.

The dried contact lenses are equilibrated in autoclaveresistant vials in phosphate-buffered physiological saline solution, and then autoclaved for 30 minutes at about 120° C. Physical measurement data for the autoclaved lens is 15 presented below:

Dk |barrer]: 93

water content |%|: 20.3%

modulus of elasticity [Mpa]: 0.96

EXAMPLE B-12

Lenses are prepared in accordance with the procedures described in Example B-11, but are subsequent surface treated as follows. The dried lenses are transferred into a plasma coating apparatus wherein they are surface treated in a methane/"air" mixture ("air", as used here, denotes 79% nitrogen and 21% oxygen) for a period of about 5 minutes. The apparatus and plasma treatment process have been disclosed by H. Yasuda in "Plasma Polymerization", Academic Press, Orlando, Fla. (1985), pages 319 forward.

The plasma-treated contact lenses are equilibrated in autoclave-resistant vials in phosphate-buffered physiological saline solution, and then autoclaved for 30 minutes at about 120° C. Physical measurement data for the plasmacoated autoclaved lens is presented below:

Dk [barrer]: 88

water content [%]: 21.8%

modulus of elasticity [Mpa]: 1.03

EXAMPLE B-13

Lenses are prepared in accordance with the procedures described in Example B-5, but the mixture of comonomers has the following composition, in weight percentages:

Macromer of Example B-1: 60%

TRIS: 25%

DMA: 15%

EXAMPLE B-14

Lenses are prepared in accordance with the procedures 50 described in Example B-6, with the same comonomer

54

composition, but the comonomers are dispensed into dustfree contact lens molds in ambient air atmosphere.

EXAMPLE C-1

Reaction of α , ω -bis-aminopropyl-dimethylpolysiloxane with D(+)gluconic acid d-lactone

causing the resultant lenses to swell out of the molds. The lenses are extracted for about 24 hours with nearly continuous replenishing of isopropyl alcohol. Subsequently, the lenses are dried under high vacuum.

Before the reaction, the amino-functionalized polydimethylsiloxane employed for the synthesis (X-22-161-C, Shin Etsu, JP) was finely dispersed in acetonitrile, extracted and then subjected to molecular distillation.

The following reactions take place with exclusion of H₂O. 200 g of purified amino-functionalized polydimethylsiloxane $(0.375 \text{ meq of NH}_2/g; \text{Mn(VPO)} 3400-3900 (VPO.)$ Vapour Pressure Osmometry)), dissolved in 200 ml of absolute THF, are slowly added dropwise to a suspension of 13.35 g (75 mmol) of D(+)gluconic acid d-lactone in 50 ml of absolute THF and the mixture is stirred at 40° C. for about 20 24 hours until the lactone has reacted completely. (Monitoring of the reaction by thin layer chromatography (TLC): silica gel; i-propanol/H2O/ethyl acetate 6:3:1; staining with Ce(IV) sulfate/phosphoromolybdic acid solution (CPS reagent)). After the reaction, the reaction solution is 25 concentrated to dryness and the residue is dried under 3 Pa (0.03 mbar) for 48 hours. 213.3 g of α,ω -bis(3gluconamidopropyl) poly-dimethylsiloxane are obtained. Titration of the amino groups with perchloric acid shows a conversion of the amino groups of more than 99.8%.

30 Reaction of $\alpha.\omega$ -bis-3-gluconamidopropyldimethylpolysiloxane with IEM

The product obtained above (213.3 g) is dissolved in 800 ml of absolute THF and the solution is heated to 40° C. with the addition of catalytic amounts of dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL). 14 g (90 mmol) of IEM in 20 ml of absolute THF are added dropwise to this solution over a period of about 4 hours. This corresponds to a concentration of 1.2 equivalents of IEM per gluconamide unit. The reaction is carried out in the course of 48 hours (monitoring of the reaction by IR spectroscopy detection of the NCO ties). The reaction solution is concentrated and the product is dried in a brown glass flask under 3 Pa (0.03 mbar) for 24 hours, while cooling with ice. 227.2 g of a colourless rubber-elastic product of high optical transparency remain.

EXAMPLE C-2 to C-7

Further amino propyl-dimethylpolysiloxanes (PDMS) are reacted with a different amount of gluconolactone and concentrations of IEM analogously to Example C-1. The examples are summarized in Table C-I

TABLE C-1

				_	Amount of batch			
	Poly(dimet	hy] silox	ane) [PI	PDMS g (mmol	Glu g	ΙЕМ		
Example	Name	Type	Mn	NH ₂ *	of NH ₂)	(mmol)	g (mmol)	
C-1	X-22-161-C	term.	3400	2	200	13.4	14.0	
					(75)	(75)	(90.0)	
C-2	X-22-161-C	term.	3400	2	200	13.4	25.7	
					(74)	(75)	(165.0)	
C-3	X-22-161-C	term.	3400	2	200	13.4	29.2	
					(75)	(75)	(187.5)	
C-4	PS 813	pen.	1200	1		. ,	` ,	

	-			Amount of batch			
	Poly(dime	thyl silox	ane) [PI	OMSI	PDMS g (mmol	Glu g	IEM
Example	Name	Туре	Mn	NH ₂ *	of NH ₂)	(mmol)	g (mmol)
C-5 C-6	GP 4 GP 6	pen.	3150 5960	2.6 3			
C-7	KF 8003	pen.	9700	4.7	200 (98)	17.5 (98)	18.2 (117.4)

Legend:

X-22-161-C and KF 8003 are products from Shin Etsu (Japan), PS813 is a product from

Petrarch-H is, GP4 and GP6 are products from Genesee. *Amino groups per macromer chain

Glu: D(+) gluconic acid d-lactone

term: terminal pen: pendent

EXAMPLE C-8

The reaction is carried out in accordance with Example C-1, but instead of D(+)gluconic acid d-lactone, 75 mmol of lactobionic acid 1.5-lactone, suspended in 50 ml of absolute THF, are added dropwise to a solution of amino- 25 functionalized polydimethylsiloxane (X-22-161-C) in 180 ml of absolute THF and 20 ml of DMSO (pure, 99%). Titration of the amino groups with perchloric acid indicates a reaction conversion of 99% (<0.01 meq of NH2/g). Here also, a colourless optically clear macromer is obtained.

EXAMPLE C-9 and C-10

The reactions are carried out analogously to Example C-1. However, the catalyst necessary for addition of the isocyanate onto the hydroxyl groups is varied. Instead of DBTDL. 35 catalytic amounts of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) or 4dimethylamino-pyridine (DMAP) are added and the reaction is continued as described under Example C-1. In both cases, an optically clear, colourless rubberelastic macromer results in a manner corresponding to 40 Example C-1.

EXAMPLE C-11

In a manner corresponding to Example C-8, 0.1 mol of lactobionic acid 1,5-lactone is suspended in 50 ml of absolute THF and the suspension is added dropwise to a solution of amino-functionalized polydimethylsiloxane (KF-8003) in 180 ml of absolute THF and 20 ml of DMSO (pure, 99%). The reaction time is increased to about 48 hours. A residual content of 0.07 meg of NH /g can be detected, and is reacted completely by addition of the corresponding molar amount of D(+)gluconic acid d-lactone to the reaction solution. The of amino groups of <0.01 meq/g.

EXAMPLE C-12

52.09 g (9.78 mmol) of purified amino-functionalized polydimethylsiloxane (X-22-161-C, Shin Etsu JP), dis- 60 solved in 110 ml of absolute THF, are initially introduced into the reaction vessel under an inert gas atmosphere, and 1.14 g (6.52 mmol) of D-glucaro-1,4:6,3-dilactone, dissolved in 20 ml of absolute THF, are added. The reaction solution is stirred at room temperature for 15 hours and then 65 worked up in a manner corresponding to Example C-1. The amine content is 0.134 meq/g. The terminal amino groups of

the resulting penta-block macromer are reacted with gluconolactone in the following reaction step. 41.84 g (5.146 meq of NH2) of the above macromer and 0.917 g (5.15 mmol) of D(+)gluconic acid d-lactone are suspended in 300 ml of absolute THF and the suspension is stirred under nitrogen at 40° C. for 18 hours. The filtered solution is then concentrated and the residue is dried under 3 Pa (0.03 mbar) for 48 hours. A highly viscous optically clear substance having a residual content of amino groups of 0.013 meq/g results.

EXAMPLE C-13

Preparation of an amino- and perfluoroalkyl-functionalized polydimethylsiloxane

3.0 ml of absolute toluene are added to 15 g of poly (dimethylsiloxane-co-methylhydrosiloxane) [Bayer Silopren U-230; 10,000 g/mol; 2.3 mmol of Si-H/g], and 1.72 g (9.2 mmol) of allylphthalimide [CAS Reg. No. 5428-09-1] are then added. The mixture is frozen several times and the flask evacuated and then brought to room temperature again. The flask is then let down with argon. 0.7 ml of a 0.005 molar solution of Lamoreaux catalyst (prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 3,220,972, General Electric) in absolute toluene (100 ppm of Pt/mol of Si-H) is added and the mixture is heated to 80° C. After a reaction time of half The reaction is carried out analogously to Example C-1. 45 an hour, a colourless, clear to slightly cloudy solution, the 1H-NMR spectrum of which no longer shows resonances of allylic hydrogen atoms, is obtained.

> Thereafter, 6.2 g (15.3 mmol) of degassed allyl 1H.1H. 2H,2H-perfluorooctyl ether are slowly added and the mix-50 ture is stirred at 80° C. for 2 hours. A 1H-NMR spectrum now shows a severely weakened resonance of the Si-H function at 4.6 ppm and an intense resonance at 0.5 ppm. which originates from Si-CH₂ hydrogen atoms.

3.0 ml of 1-hexene are then added in order to react the colourless highly transparent product has a residual content 55 remaining excess of Si—H groups, which could otherwise cause crosslinking of the polymer when air later has access. The mixture is further stirred at 80° C. for another half an hour. The reaction mixture is then left to stand overnight. The product is purified over a silica gel column with hexane/ethyl acetate (3:2), the solvent is stripped off and the macromer is dried under a high vacuum. A colourless, clear, viscous product is obtained. The macromer purified in this way is taken up in 20 ml of hexane, 20 ml of methylamine [33% in ethanol] are added and the mixture is heated to 40° C. After 10-15 minutes, a white voluminous precipitate separates out. After 30 minutes, the suspension is cooled and filtered and the precipitate is washed with a little hexane.

57

The filtrate is evaporated and the residue is then dried under a high vacuum. Thereafter, the content of amino groups is determined by titrimetry (perchloric acid).

The resulting macromer is clear and viscous. The amino group content is 78.6% of theory. The total yield of macromer after the chromatographic purification is 75%. Preparation of a gluconamide

17.3 g (corresponding to an amine content of 5.4 meq) of this aminoalkyl-substituted product are dissolved in 20 ml of dried THF. The solution is repeatedly frozen, degassed and let down with argon. All the following operations are carried out in an argon atmosphere. 712 mg of D(+)-gluconic acid d-lactone (4 mmol) are then added. Because of the low solubility of the lactone, a suspension is initially obtained. After stirring overnight at 50° C., the solution is clear and the lactone has been used completely. The stoichiometric remaining amount of D(+)-gluconic acid d-lactone (260 mg, 1.46 mmol) is then added and the mixture is stirred again at 50° C. overnight. A trace of unreacted lactone is observed. Completion of the reaction is monitored by means of thin

58

a nitrogen atmosphere in a UV oven equipped for this for 5 minutes. The lamps (5 each of the brand TLK40W/10R. Philips) are above and below the holder inserted. The irradiation intensity is 14.5 mW/cm2.

The polypropylene mould is opened and the finished discs or lenses are removed by soaking by means of a solvent mixture of methylene chloride and ethanol (2:3). The lenses and discs are extracted in ethanol at room temperature in special polypropylene cages for 48 hours and then dried at 40° C. under 10 Pa (0.1 mbar) for 24 hours (autoclaving at 120° C., 30 minutes). The discs show an E modulus of 1.1 MPa, a permeability to oxygen of 183 barrier and a hardness (Shore A) of 53.

EXAMPLE C-15 to C-19

Further polymers are prepared in a manner corresponding to Example C-14 (composition in percentages by weight). Table C-II shows examples C-15 to C-19 and the properties of the resulting materials measured on discs.

TABLE C-II

Example	Water Content [%]	Macromer from Example	Macromer weight percent	DMA weight percent	DMEA weight percent	TRIS weight percent	E modulus [Mpa]	Dk barrer
C-15	not measured	C-3	32.8	_	30	37.2	_	_
C-16	19.9	C-3	32.9	34.3		32.7	0.7	84
C-17	25.1	C-3	39.3	34.3		36.4	0.9	72
C-18	17.5	C-3	35.7	34.3		30.0	0.7	100
C-19	23.4	C-3	33.3	33.3	_	33.4	0.7	96

Legend:

DMA: N,N-Dimethylacrylamide

TRIS: 3-Methacryloyloxypropyl-tris(trimethylsilyloxy)silane

DMEA: 2-Dimethylaminoethyl acetate

45

layer chromatography on silica gel plates with the mobile phase 1-propanol/ethyl acetate/water (6:1:3). The silica gel plates are developed by means of Ce(IV) sulfate/phosphoromolybdic acid solution. Subsequent titration on 40 amino groups yields a residual amino content of <0.1%. After filtration and removal of the solvent by distillation, a highly viscous clear macromer with 0.295 mequivalent of gluconamide per gram of macromer is obtained.

EXAMPLE C-14

Before the polymerization, the acrylates employed, isobutyl acrylate (IBA), N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA) and 3-methacryloyloxypropyl-tris(trimethylsilyloxy)silane (TRIS) are each freed from inhibitors by distillation. 0.32 g 50 (2.76 mmol) of IBA, 0.80 g (8.1 mmol) of DMA and 1.44 g (3.4 mmol) of TRIS are weighed into a 50 ml roundbottomed flask and the flask is flushed with N2 for half an hour, while cooling with ice. 1.44 g of macromer from Example C-1 are transferred to a round-bottomed flask with 55 a nitrogen attachment, degassed under 3 Pa (0.03 mbar) for 24 hours and then dissolved in 2.7 g of ethanol which has been flushed with N2 for half an hour beforehand. The subsequent preparation of samples and the polymerization are carried out inside a glove box with exclusion of oxygen. 60 The above monomer mixture and the macromer solution from Example C-1 are mixed, with the addition of 0.012 g (0.21 mmol) of Darocur® 1173 and the mixture is subjected to microfiltration (0.45 mm filter). 180 µl of this mixture are introduced into a polypropylene mould, which is then closed 65 with an appropriate lid of polypropylene. The mixture is then irradiated with a UV-A mercury high pressure lamp in

EXAMPLE C-20

Uncoated contact lens

A contact lens is prepared in a manner corresponding to Example C-14, using the Example C-3 macromer, with the following composition in percentages by weight:

Macromer: 33.3 DMA: 33.3 TRIS: 33.4

The lens has a Dk of about 94 and a water content of about 20.0 weight percent. The results are presented in TABLE C-III for comparision with coated lens properties.

EXAMPLE C-21

Plasma-treated contact lens

Dried lenses prepared in accordance with the procedures described in Example C-20 are transferred into a plasma coating apparatus where the lenses are surface treated in a methane/"air" mixture ("air", as used here, denotes 79% nitrogen and 21% oxygen). The apparatus and plasma treatment process have been disclosed by H. Yasuda in "Plasma Polymerization", Academic Press, Orlando, Fla. (1985), pages 319 forward.

The dried plasma-treated contact lenses are equilibrated in autoclave-resistant vials in phosphate-buffered physiological saline solution, and then autoclaved for 30 minutes at about 120° C. The plasma-treated autoclaved lens has a Dk [barrer] of 90 and a water content of 21.5%. The results are presented in TABLE C-III for comparision with coated lens properties.

5.789,461

5

59

TABLE C-III

Example	Surface type	Dk barrer	Water content %
C-20	untreated	94	20.0
C-21	plasma-treated	90	21.5

EXAMPLE C-22

The synthesis of this polymer corresponds to Example C-14 with the following comonomer composition: Example C-3 macromer/TRIS/DMA: 32.8%/32.6%/34.2% (in percentages by weight) and an addition of 0.4% by weight of trimethylammonium-2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate hydrochloride (Blemer® QA, Nippon Oil Corp.). The polymer has a modulus of 0.9 MPa and a permeability to oxygen of '82 barrer. The water content is 25.1% (after 30 minutes' autoclaving at 120° C.). For comparison, Example C-16 has a water content of 20% with a very similar comonomer 20 composition (no addition of Blemer® QA).

EXAMPLE C-23

The polymer is prepared analogously to Example C-14. but the polymerization is carried out in bulks which means 25 without addition of ethanol. The composition of the comonomers and the material properties of the polymer synthesized, measured on discs is given below.

Example C-7 macromer: 41%

IBA: 23%

1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone (NVP): 24%

acrylonitrile (AN): 12% Hardness (shore A): 68

EXAMPLE C-24

The polymerization is carried out in accordance with Example C-14 but with the following changed comonomer composition:

macromer of Example C-7/IBA/TRIS 20%/19%/60% and 1% (in percentages by weight) of bis(3methacryloyloxypropyl)tetra methyldisiloxane.

An optically clear polymer with an E modulus of 0.4 MPa. a permeability to oxygen of 241 barrer and a hardness (Shore 45 contact lens molds in a nitrogen atmosphere. The polymer-A) of 42 is obtained.

EXAMPLES C-25 through C-27

Contact lenses are prepared in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-14. The compositions in 50 and a modulus of elasticity of about 2 MPa. weight percentages are as follows:

Example	Macromer	Macromer weight percent	IBA weight percent	DMA weight percent	TRIS weight percent	HFBA weight percent
C-25	C-3	36.0	8.0	20.0	36.0	
C-26	C-2	35.0	5.0	20.0	35.0	5.0
C-27	C-3	32.8	_	30.0	37.2	_

where IBA is isobutylacrylate, DMA is N.N-Dimethylacrylamide

TRIS is 3'-methacryloyloxypropyl-tris(trimethylsiloxy)silane

HFBA is 2,2-3,4,4,4-hexaflurobutylacrylate

EXAMPLE C-28

The polymerization is carried out in accordance with Example C-14 but with the following changed comonomer

60

composition: macromer of Example C-1/DMA/TRIS 33.3%/33.3%/33.3%. An optically clear polymer is obtained.

EXAMPLE D-1

Macromer Synthesis

In a dry box under nitrogen atmosphere, about 200 grams of dry PDMS dipropoxyethanol (Shin-Etsu) is added to a container. Isocyanatoethyl methacrylate (IEM) in an amount equal to about 2 moles per mole PDMS dialkanol is added to the container. About 0.1 weight percent dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTL) catalyst, based on PDMS dialkanol weight, is added to the container along with a stir bar. The container is immersed in an oil bath atop a stir plate, and secured in place with a clamp. A stream of UPC air at about 2 psig is passed over the mixture. The mixture is agitated at room temperature (about 22° C.) for about 24 hours. An iterative procedure follows in which the mixture is analyzed for isocyanate content and IEM is added if the PDMS dialkoxyalkanol has not been completely reacted. The mixture is stirred about 24 hours more. The macromer produced is a siloxanecontaining macromer.

EXAMPLE D-2

Lens fabrication

A prepolymerization mixture is prepared by mixing about 56 grams of the macromer from Example D-1, about 14 grams of TRIS, about 29 grams N.N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA), about 1 gram methacrylic acid, about 0.5 grams Darocur® 1173 photoinitiator, and about 20 grams hexanol. The mixture is agitated for about 20 minutes at room

Next, the mixture is degassed via a series of freezing and thawing steps. The container is placed in a liquid nitrogen bath until the mixture solidifies. A vacuum is applied to the container at a pressure of about 200 millitorr or less for about 5 minutes. Then, the container is placed in a bath of room temperature water until the mixture is liquid again. This process is performed a total of three times.

The mixture is then polymerized to form contact lenses. The prepolymerization mixture is poured into polypropylene ization is effected by applying UV radiation (about 4-6 mW/cm²) for a period of about 15 minutes.

The resulting fully hydrated contact lens has a water content of about 23%. The lens has a Dk of about 115 barrers

EXAMPLE D-3

Lens fabrication

A contact lens is prepared in accordance with the procedure described in Example D-2, with the difference being that the composition is about 50%/ macromer of Example D-1, about 20% TRIS and about 30% DMA.

The resulting filly hydrated contact lens has a water 60 content of about 20%. The lens has a Dk of about 118 barrers and a modulus of elasticity of about 1.8 Mpa.

EXAMPLE E-1

Material A

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2. Prior to

61

polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by cooling the prepolymer mixture with liquid nitrogen until the mixture solidifies and is near liquid nitrogen temperature, then applying a vacuum (about 0.1 mm Hg) to the solidified prepolymer mixture, discontinuing the vacuum, and thawing the prepolymer mixture until the mixture is again in liquid form. This degassing procedure is performed a total of three times on the prepolymerization mixture.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere 10 to form the contact lens. The cured lens has an equilibrium water content of about 19%. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 10 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume:volume ratio. The working pressure of the gas is about 50 millitorr. The plasma $^{\,\,15}$ treatment is accomplished in a Plasma Polymerization Apparatus LCVD-20-400A (Plasmacarb, Bedford, Mass.)

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-2

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-10. Prior to polymerization, nitrogen gas is bubbled through the prepolymer mixture in order to remove oxygen from the 30 prepolymer mixture.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. The cured lens has an equilibrium water content of about 26 weight percent. No coating is applied to the surface.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is -0.063×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens does not move on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-3

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-12. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. The cured lens has an equilibrium water content of about 30 weight percent. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 3 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume ratio.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 0.50×10⁻³ cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens 55 moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-4

Material B

60

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-12. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. The cured lens has an equilibrium 62

water content of about 30 weight percent. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 5 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume ratio.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 0.47×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the is results.

EXAMPLE E-5

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-12. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. The cured lens has an equilibrium water content of about 30 weight percent. Subsequent to

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 0.35×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the

EXAMPLE E-6

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-11. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. The cured lens has an equilibrium water content of about 30 weight percent. The lens is not subsequently coated.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 40 1.1×10⁻³ cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-7

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-21. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 5 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume ratio.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 2.9×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-8

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance 65 with the procedure described in Example C-21. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

63

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 7.5 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume ratio.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 0.25×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-9

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-20. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in an air atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is not surface treated.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is $0.008\times10^{-3}~{\rm cm^2/sec}$. Clinical examination shows that the lens does not move on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-10

Material D

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example D-2. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is not surface treated.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 1.4×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-11

Material D

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance ⁴⁵ with the procedure described in Example D-2. Prior to

64

polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 7.5 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume ratio.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 0.61×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results

EXAMPLE E-12

Material D

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example D-2. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed 20 by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in a nitrogen atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is plasma treated for about 5 minutes in an atmosphere of methane and air at a 2:1 CH₄:air volume ratio.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is 1.5×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens moves on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE E-13

Material D

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example D-2. Prior to polymerization, the prepolymerization mixture is degassed by the repeated freeze/thaw procedure of Example E-1.

The prepolymer mixture is cured in an air atmosphere to form the contact lens. Subsequent to curing, the lens is not surface treated.

The Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of the lens is -0.001×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Clinical examination shows that the lens does not move on the human eye. See Table E for a summary of the results.

TABLE E

Example	Material	Degassing	Curing atmosphere	Surface treatment CH ₄ :air plasma [minutes]	Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient [10 ⁻³ cm ² /sec]	On-eye Movement
E-1	A	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	10	0.81	YES
E-2	В	nitrogen bubble	nitrogen	no plasma	-0.063	NO
E-3	В	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	3	0.50	YES
E-4	В	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	5	0.47	YES
E- 5	В	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	7.5	0.35	YES
E-6	В	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	no plasma	1.1	YES
E-7	С	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	5	2.9	YES

5,789,461

65

TABLE E-continued

				Surface treatment	Ionoton Ion	
Example	Material	Degassing	Curing atmosphere	CH₄:air plasma minutes	Permeability Coefficient [10 ⁻³ cm ² /sec]	On-eye Movement
E-8	С	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	7.5	0.25	YES
E -9	С	3-cycle freeze/thaw	air	no plasma	0.008	NO
E-10	D	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	no plasma	1.4	YES
E-11	D	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	7.5	0.61	YES
E-12	D	3-cycle freeze/thaw	nitrogen	5	1.5	YES
E-13	D	3-cycle freeze/thaw	air	no plasma	-0.001	NO

Considering Examples E-1 through E-13 of Table E, the 20 lowest value of Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient for which a lens moves on the eye is 0.25×10^{-3} cm²/sec. The highest value of Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient for a lens which bound on tee eye is 0.008×10^{-3} cm²/sec. Thus, a contact lens preferably has an Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient greater than about 0.008×10⁻³ cm²/sec., more preferably greater than about 0.25×10^{-3} cm²/sec.

EXAMPLE F-1

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-25. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient 35 is determined to be about 0 mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) in accordance with the following procedure, using a glass plasma reactor equipped with an external ring electrode and a 27.13 MHz radio frequency (RF) generator for the generation of an inductively-coupled, cold glow discharge plasma Highly purified argon is used as a plasma gas and as a carrier gas for N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP) monomer feed. The NVP feed line is located about 10 cm below the glow zone.

The contact lens is placed in the 20 cm diameter plasma reactor at a position about 15 cm below the plasma glow zone. The reactor is then evacuated for about 30 minutes to about 0.009 mbar. Subsequent to evacuation, the plasma gas flow is set to 20 sccm (standard cubic centimeters), the glow discharge is started at a pressure of about 0.15 mbar and maintained for about one minute at a power of about 170 Watts (in order to clean and activate the lens surface). After reduction of argon plasma gas flow to about 10 sccm, the 55 eye. See Table F for a summary of the results. argon carrier gas flow for the NVP monomer is also set to 10 scem. The temperature of the NVP source (with the carrier gas bubbling through the liquid NVP) is held at about 40° C. The lenses are treated for about 10 minutes with a pulsing glow discharge plasma (1 µsec. on, 3 µsec. off) at about 0.35 mbar pressure and about 150 Watts power.

After interrupting the glow discharge and the carrier gas flow, the reactor is continuously purged with a 20 sccm argon stream at a pressure of about 0.009 mbar for about 30 minutes, in order to remove residual monomer and activated 65 surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example species. The PVP coated contact lenses thus produced are highly wettable and show the following contact dynamic

contact angles, measured with a KRUESS (Hamburg, Germany) K-12 instrument:

	Untreated	Treated
Advancing	102	38
Receding	48	23
Hysterises	53	15

Clinical tests show that the lens does not move on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-2

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-26. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 2.8×10^{-7} mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens does not move on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-3

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-27. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 9.3×10⁻⁷ mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens does not move on the

EXAMPLE F-4

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-18. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 2.6×10⁻⁶ mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

67

EXAMPLE F-5

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-16. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 1.3×10^{-5} mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-6

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-19. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 2.7×10⁻⁵ mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-7

Material C

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-17. Prior to ³⁰ surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 7.8×10⁻⁶ mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-8

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-13. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 1.5×10⁻⁶ mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens does not move on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-9

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-14. Prior to 55 surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 1.1×10^{-6} mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens does not move on the 60 eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-10

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-7. Prior to

68

surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about $3.8 \times 10^{-6} \ mm^2/min$.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-11

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-6. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about $8.5\times10^{-6}~\text{mm}^2/\text{min}$.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE F-12

Material B

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-5. Prior to surface treatment, the Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 7.1×10⁻⁵ mm²/min.

Subsequent to ion permeability measurements, the lens surface is coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone as in Example F-1. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table F for a summary of the results.

TABLE F

EXAMPLE	MATERIAL (Example reference number)	INOFLUX ION PERMEABILITY COEFFICIENT* [mm²/min]	ON-EYE MOVEMENT- CLINICAL Determination
F-1	C-25	0	NO
F-2	C-26	0.28×10^{-6}	NO
F-3	C-27	0.93×10^{-6}	NO
F-4	C-18	2.6×10^{-6}	YES
F-5	C-16	13.0×10^{-6}	YES
F-6	C-19	27.0×10^{-6}	YES
F-7	C-17	7.8×10^{-6}	YES
F-8	B-13	1.5×10^{-6}	NO
F-9	B-14	1.1×10^{-6}	NO
F-10	B-7	3.8×10^{-6}	YES
F-11	В-6	8.5 × 10 ^{−6}	YES
F-12	B-5	71.0×10^{-6}	YES

*All Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficients were determined on uncoated 50 lenses.

Considering only Examples F-1 through F-13 of Table F, the lowest value of Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient for which a lens moves on the eye is 2.6×10^{-6} mm²/min. The highest value of Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient for a lens which binds on the eye is 1.5×10^{-6} mm²/min. Thus, a contact lens preferably has an Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient greater than about 1.5×10^{-6} mm²/min., more preferably greater than about 2.6×10^{-6} mm²/min.

EXAMPLE G-1

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example A-2. The Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 0.71×10^{-6} cm²/second. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table G for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE G-2

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-5. The Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 1.09×10^{-6} cm²/second. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table G for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE G-3

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example B-6. The lens is surface treated in a plasma gas in accordance with the procedure described in Example F-1. The Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 0.27× 15 10⁻⁶ cm²/second. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table G for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE G-4

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-19. The lens is surface treated in a plasma gas in accordance with the procedure described in Example F-1. The Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 0.37× 25 10⁻⁶ cm²/second. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table G for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE G-5

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance 30 with the procedure described in Example D-2. The Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 1.26×10⁻⁶ cm²/second. Clinical tests show that the lens moves on the eye. See Table G for a summary of the results.

EXAMPLE G-6

A contact lens is prepared substantially in accordance with the procedure described in Example C-14. The 40 Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient is determined to be about 0.08×10^{-6} cm²/second. Clinical tests show that the lens does not move on the eye. See Table G for a summary of the results.

70

The invention has been described in detail, with reference to certain preferred embodiments, in order to enable the reader to practice the invention without undue experimentation. Theories of operation have been offered to better enable the reader to understand the invention, but such theories do not limit the scope of the invention. In addition. a person having ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that many of the previous components, compositions, and parameters may be varied or modified to a reasonable 10 extent without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention. Furthermore, titles, headings, example materials or the like are provided to enhance the reader's comprehension of this document, and should not be read s limiting the scope of the present invention. Accordingly, the intellectual property rights to the invention are defined by the following claims, reasonable extensions and equivalents thereof, as interpreted in view of the disclosure herein.

That which is claimed is:

- 1. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high 20 oxygen permeability and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough; and
 - (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material.
 - whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
 - whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids.
 - wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion

TABLE G

EXAMPLE	MATERIAL (Example reference number)	SURFACE TREATMENT	HYDRODELL WATER PERMEABILITY COEFFICIENT [cm²/sec.]	ON-EYE MOVEMENT- CLINICAL DETERMINATION
G-1	A-2	none	0.71 × 10 ⁻⁶	YES
G-2	B -5	none	1.09×10^{-6}	YES
G-3	B -6	PVP	0.27×10^{-6}	YES
G-4	C-19	PVP	0.37×10^{-6}	YES
G-5	D-2	none	1.26×10^{-6}	YES
G-6	C-14	none	0.08×10^{-6}	NO

Considering only Examples G-1 through G-6 of Table G. 60 the lowest value of Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient for which a lens moves on the eye is 0.27×10^{-6} cm²/sec. The highest value of Hydrodell Water Permeability Coefficient for a lens which binds on the eye is 0.08×10^{-6} cm²/sec. Thus, a contact lens preferably has a Hydrodell 65 Water Permeability Coefficient greater than about 0.08×10⁻⁶ cm²/sec., more preferably greater than 0.27×10^{-6} cm²/sec.

- permeability characterized either by (1) an Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 0.2×10⁻¹ cm²/sec or (2) an Ionoflux Diffusion Coefficient of greater than about 1.5×10⁻⁶ mm²/min, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.
- 2. A method of claim 1, wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen permeability of at least 87 barrers/mm.
- 3. A method of claim 1, wherein said intimate contact period is at least 24 hours.

71

- 4. A method of claim 3, wherein said intimate contact period is at least 7 days.
- 5. A method of claim 1, wherein said lens has an Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of at least about 0.3×10^{-6} cm²/sec.
- 6. A method of claim 2, wherein said intimate contact period is at least 7 days.

72

7. A method of claim 3, wherein said intimate contact period is at least 14 days.

8. A method of claim 7, wherein said surface altering comprises plasma treating said surface to render said surface 5 more hydrophilic than said core.

* * * * *

US005789461B1

REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE (4214th)

United States Patent [19]

[11] **B1 5,789,461**

Nicolson et al. [45] Certificate Issued Nov. 21, 2000

[54] METHODS OF FORMING AN EXTENDED WEAR OPHTHALMIC LENS HAVING A HYDROPHILIC SURFACE

[75] Inventors: Paul Clement Nicolson, Dunwoody; Richard Carlton Baron, Alpharetta, both of Ga.; Peter Chabrecek, Basel, Switzerland; John Court, Ultimo, Australia; Angelika Domschke, Lörrach, Germany; Hans Jörg Griesser, Victoria; Arthur Ho, Randwick, both of Australia; Jens Höpken, Lörrach, Germany; Bronwyn Glenice Laycock, Victoria, Australia; Qin Liu, Duluth, Ga.; Dieter Lohmann, Munchestein, Switzerland; Gordon Francis Meijs, Carnegie; Eric Papaspiliotopoulos, Paddington, both of Australia; Judy S. Riffle, Blacksburg, Va.; Klaus Schindhelm, Cherrybrook; Deborah Sweeney, Roseville, both of Australia; Wilson Leonard Terry, Jr., Alpharetta, Ga.; Jürgen Vogt, Kleinschonberg, Switzerland; Lynn Cook Winterton, Alpharetta, Ga.

[73] Assignees: Ciba Vision Corporation, Duluth, Ga.; Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Campbell, Australia

Reexamination Request:

No. 90/005,285, Mar. 5, 1999

Reexamination Certificate for:

Patent No.: 5,789,461
Issued: Aug. 4, 1998
Appl. No.: 08/683,491
Filed: Jul. 18, 1996

Related U.S. Application Data

[60]	Division of application No. 08/569,816, Dec. 8, 1995, which
	is a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/301,166, Sep.
	6, 1994, abandoned.

[51]	Int. Cl. ⁷		G020	C 7/04 ;	G02B	1/04;
		C08G 18/61:	COST	75/04	C08I	83/04

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Re. 31,406 10/1983 Gaylord . 2,718,516 9/1955 Bortnick . 3,284,406 11/1966 Nelson .

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

0114894 A 1	7/1983	European Pat. Off
0108886A2	9/1983	European Pat. Off
0184729B1	11/1985	European Pat. Off
0277771A3	1/1988	European Pat. Off
0 295 947 A3	6/1988	European Pat. Off
0295947A3	6/1988	European Pat. Off
0170141 B 1	7/1988	European Pat. Off
0306756A2	8/1988	European Pat. Off
0306756B1	8/1988	European Pat. Off
0330616A1	2/1989	European Pat. Off
0395583A2	4/1990	European Pat. Off
0425436A3	10/1990	European Pat. Off
0461270A1	12/1990	European Pat. Off
0489185A1	12/1990	European Pat. Off
0439429A2	1/1991	European Pat. Off
0 443 005	4/1991	European Pat. Off
0480238A1	9/1991	European Pat. Off
0497204A2	1/1992	European Pat. Off
0528664A1	8/1992	European Pat. Off
0584764A1	8/1993	European Pat. Off
0614921A2	3/1994	European Pat. Off
0620455A2	4/1994	European Pat. Off
0687550A2	6/1994	European Pat. Off
0643083A1	9/1994	European Pat. Off
0686491A2	6/1995	European Pat. Off
0735097A1	3/1996	European Pat. Off
0781777A1	12/1996	European Pat. Off
0782016A2	12/1996	European Pat. Off
6-122779	6/1994	Japan .
WO90/09013	8/1990	WIPO .
WO92/07013	4/1992	WIPO .
WO 94/15980	7/1994	WIPO .
WO94/15980	7/1994	WIPO .
WO95/17689	6/1995	WIPO .
WO95/20476	8/1995	WIPO .
WO95/24187	9/1995	WIPO .

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, vol. 58, No. 5, p. 604, Nov. 1995 edition, which contained the structural formula for Balafilicon A and which was publicly available in Nov. 1995 in the United States (Exhibit A).

The publication in Chemistry and Industry of an article by Kunzler entitled "Contact Lens Materials", Aug. 21, 1995, pp. 651–655 and which was publicly available from Oct. 19, 1995 at the University of New South Wales Library (Exhibit B).

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Vasudevan S. Jagannathan

57] ABSTRACT

An ophthalmic lens suited for extended-wear for periods of at least one day on the eye without a clinically significant amount of corneal swelling and without substantial wearer discomfort. The lens has a balance of oxygen permeability and ion or water permeability, with the ion or water permeability being sufficient to provide good on-eye movement, such that a good tear exchange occurs between the lens and the eye. A preferred lens is a copolymerization product of a oxyperm macromer and an ionoperm monomer. The invention encompasses extended wear contact lenses, which include a core having oxygen transmission and ion transmission pathways extending from the inner surface to the outer surface.

B1 5,789,461 Page 2

	U.S. PA	TENT DOCUMENTS	4,440,918 4,447,562	4/1984 5/1984	Rice et al
3,518,324	6/1970	Polmanteer .	4,454,295		Wittmann et al
3,700,573	10/1972	Laizler .	4,454,309		Gould et al
3,708,225	1/1973	Misch et al	4,463,149	7/1984	
3,808,178	4/1974	Gaylord .	4,478,981	10/1984	
3,916,033	10/1975	Merrill .	4,486,577		Mueller et al
3,935,342		Lim.	4,487,905	12/1984	Mitchell .
3,959,102		Wajs et al	4,495,361		Friends et al
3,959,105		Feneberg et al	4,496,535		Gould et al
3,996,187		Travnicek .	4,500,676		Balazs et al
4,008,198 4,062,627	2/1977 12/1977	Krohberger et al Wajs et al	4,527,293 4,528,301		Eckstein et al Upchurch .
4,002,027		Fanti .	4,543,398		Bany et al
4,099,859		Merrill .	4,546,123	10/1985	
4,112,207	9/1978		4,550,139	10/1985	
4,114,993	9/1978	Travnicek .	4,555,375	11/1985	Kunzler et al
4,120,570	10/1978	Gaylord .	4,563,565		Kampfer et al
4,128,318	12/1978	Sieglaff et al	4,576,850	3/1986	
4,136,250	1/1979	Mueller et al	4,582,884 4,582,885	4/1986	Ratkowaki . Barber .
4,143,949		Chen .	4,602,074		Mizutaki et al
4,152,508	5/1979	Ellis et al	4,605,712	8/1986	Mueller et al
4,153,641	5/1979	Deichert et al	4,616,045	10/1986	Upchurch .
4,156,066	5/1979	Gould . Gould .	4,625,007	11/1986	Ellis et al
4,156,067 4,166,255	5/1979 8/1979	Graham .	4,626,292	12/1986	
4,169,119		Covington .	4,632,844	12/1986	Yanagihara et al
4,182,822		Chang.	4,632,968 4,649,184		Yokota et al Yoshikawa et al
4,186,026		Rotenberg et al	4,649,185		Takamizawa et al.
4,189,546	2/1980	Deichert et al	4,652,622		Friends et al
4,195,030	3/1980	Deichert et al	4,659,777	4/1987	Riffle et al
4,197,266	4/1980	Clark et al	4,661,573		Ratkowski et al
4,198,131		Birdsall et al	4,663,409		Friends et al
4,208,362		Deichert et al	4,664,657		Williamitis et al
4,208,506		Deichert et al	4,665,145 4,666,249		Yokota et al Bauman et al
4,214,014		Höfer et al	4,668,558		Barber .
4,217,038 4,225,631		Letter et al Berger et al	4,696,974	9/1987	
4,228,269		Loshaek et al	4,703,097	10/1987	Wingler et al
4,229,273		Wajs .	4,711,943		Harvey, III .
4,242,483		Novicky .	4,727,172		Yamamoto et al
4,245,069	1/1981	Covington.	4,731,080 4,732,715	3/1988	Bawa et al
4,254,248	3/1981	Friends et al	4,737,322		Bruns et al
4,259,467	3/1981	Keogh et al	4,737,558		Falcetta et al
4,260,725		Keogh et al	4,740,533	4/1988	Su et al
4,261,875		LeBoeuf.	4,743,667		Mizutani et al
4,276,402		Chromecek et al	4,752,627	6/1988	
4,277,595 4,291,953		Deichert et al Covington .	4,769,431		Ratkowski . Su et al
4,294,974		LeBoeuf.	4,780,488 4,780,515		Deichert .
4,303,772	12/1981	Novicky .	4,792,414		Su et al
4,312,575		Peyman et al	4,803,254	2/1989	
4,322,517		Deubzer et al	4,806,382	2/1989	~ ~
4,327,203		Deichert et al	4,810,764	3/1989	Friends et al
4,332,922		Kossmehl et al	4,818,801	4/1989	Rice et al
4,341,889 4,355,135		Deichert et al January .	4,826,936 4,829,137	5/1989 5/1989	Eillis . Stoyan .
4,355,135		Deichert et al	4,833,262	5/1989	Kunzler et al
4,359,558		Gould et al	4,837,289	6/1989	Mueller et al
4,365,050	12/1982		4,840,796	6/1989	Sweet et al
4,395,496		Wittmann et al	4,849,285	7/1989	Dillion .
4,408,023		Gould et al	4,853,453	8/1989	Schafer et al
4,410,674	10/1983		4,857,606	8/1989	Su et al
4,413,104 4,423,195	11/1983 12/1983	Deubzer et al Covington .	4,859,383 4,866,148	8/1989 9/1989	Dillion . Geyer et al
4,424,305	1/1984	Gould et al	4,871,785	10/1989	Froix .
4,436,887	3/1984		4,894,231	1/1990	Moreau et al
4,439,583	3/1984		4,910,277		Bambury et al
4,439,584		Gould et al	4,920,184		Schafer et al
4,439,585	3/1984	Gould et al	4,923,906	5/1990	Mueller et al

B1 5,789,461 Page 3

4,925,668	5/1990	Khan et al	5,271,875 12/1993 Appelton .
4,938,827		Leach et al	5,274,008 12/1993 Lai .
4,940,751	7/1990	Frances et al	5,298,533 3/1994 Nandu et al
4,943,150		Deichert et al	5,310,779 5/1994 Lai .
4,943,460		Markel et al	5,314,960 5/1994 Spinelli et al 525/280 5,321,108 6/1994 Kunzler et al
4,948,485		Wallsten et al Novicky .	5,334,681 8/1994 Mueller et al
4,948,855 4,948,907		Fleischmann et al	5,336,797 8/1994 McGee et al
4,954,586		Toyoshima et al	5,346,946 9/1994 Yokoyama et al
4,954,587		Mueller .	5,352,714 10/1994 Lai et al
4,961,954		Goldbert et al	5,357,013 10/1994 Bambury et al 5,358,995 10/1994 Lai et al
4,962,178		Harisiades .	5,364,918 11/1994 Valint, Jr. et al
4,977,229		Culberson et al Hahn et al	5,371,147 12/1994 Spinelli et al 525/288
4,983,332 4,983,702		Mueller et al	5,374,662 12/1994 Lai et al
5,002,979		Stoyan .	5,378,412 1/1995 Smith et al
5,006,622	4/1991	Kunzler et al	5,387,632 2/1995 Lai et al
5,008,115		Lee et al	5,387,662 2/1995 Kunzler et al 5,387,663 2/1995 McGee et al
5,010,141		Mueller .	5,420,324 5/1995 Lai et al
5,011,275 5,013,808		Mueller . Piskoti .	5,435,943 7/1995 Adams et al
5,019,628		Spinelli .	5,449,729 9/1995 Lai 526/286
5,023,305		Onozuka et al	5,451,617 9/1995 Lai et al
5,032,658		Baron et al	5,451,651 9/1995 Lai . 5,453,467 9/1995 Bamford et al
5,034,461		Lai et al 525/100	5,456,864 10/1995 Wickes et al
5,039,769		Molock et al	5,466,147 11/1995 Appelton et al
5,053,048 5,057,578			5,486,579 1/1996 Lai et al
		Wu et al	5,496,871 3/1996 Lai et al
		Robertson et al	5,512,205 4/1996 Lai
		Robertson et al	5,525,691 6/1996 Valint, Jr. et al 5,534,605 7/1996 Bambury et al
		Bambury et al	5,539,016 7/1996 Kunzler et al
5,074,877		Broderick .	5,563,184 10/1996 McGee et al
		Schwabe et al	5,610,252 3/1997 Bambury et al
5,079,878		Druskis et al	5,629,360 5/1997 Askari et al
5,080,839		Kindt-Larsen .	5,726,733 3/1998 Lai .
5,080,924		Kamel et al	5,965,631 10/1999 Nicolson et al
5,084,537		Stoyan .	OTHER PUBLICATIONS
5,091,204 5,094,876		Ratner et al Goldberg et al	
5,098,618	3/1992		The publication of an article in the Journal of Applied
5,100,689		Goldberg et al	Polymer Science, vol. 55 (1995), pp. 611–619, by Kunzler
5,104,213		Wolfson .	et al. entitled "Hydrogels Based on Hydrophilic Side—Chain Siloxanes", and which was publicly available from Feb. 21,
5,106,930		Gupta .	1995 at the University of New South Wales Library (Exhibit
5,115,056 5,116,369		Mueller et al Kushibiki et al	C).
5,128,408		Tanaka et al	An article in World Plastics and Rubber Technology (1992),
5,128,434	7/1992		pp. 23–29, entitled "Clear Vision for the Future" by Lai et
5,135,297		Valint, Jr	al. and which was publicly available from Nov. 16, 1992 at
5,141,748	8/1992		the State Library of New South Wales (Exhibit D).
5,147,396 5,154,861		Kageyama et al McBriety et al	An article in the Journal of Applied Polymer Science, vol. 56
		Harisiades et al	(1995) entitles "Role of Bulky Polysilaxanylalkyl Methoc-
5,158,573			rylates in Oxygen-Permeable Hydrogel Materials" by Lai et
5,158,717			al. and which was publicly available in Apr. 1995 in the
		Colapicchioni et al	United States (Exhibit E).
		Hilty et al	An article in the Journal of Applied Polymer Science, vol. 56
5,162,469 5,166,298	11/1992	Friedmann et al	(1995) entitled "Novel Polyurethane—Silicone Hydrogels"
	12/1992		by Lai which was publicly available in Apr. 1995 in the
		Hilty et al	United States (Exhibit F).
5,177,165	1/1993	Valint, Jr. et al	An article in Macromolecular Engineering (1995) entitled
5,177,167		Tone et al	"Transparent Multiphasic Oxygen Permeable Hydrogels
5,196,493		Gruber et al	based on Silaxanic Statistical Copolymers" by Robert et al,
5,219,965 5,236,969		Valint, Jr. et al Kunzler et al	which was publicly available in Aug. 1995 in the United
5,238,613		Anderson .	States (Exhibit G).
5,260,000		Nandu et al	An article in Trends in Polymer Science entitled "Silicone
5,260,001		Nandu et al	Hydrogels for Contact Lens Application" by Jay F. Kunzler
		Druskis et al	which was publicly available in Feb. 1996 in the United
5,2/0,418	12/1993	Kunzler et al	Kingdom (Exhibit H).

B1 5,789,461

Page 4

An article in the Contact Lens Association of Ophthalmologists Journal ("CLAO"), vol. 13, No. 1 (Jan. 1987) entitled "Gas Permeable Hard Contact Lens Extended Wear: Ocular and Visual Responses to a 6-Month Period of Wear" by Kenneth A. Polse et al., which was publicly available in Jan. 1987 in the United States of America (Exhibit I).

An article in the Contact Lens Association of Ophthalmologists Journal ("CLAO"), vol. 15, No. 2 (Apr. 1989) entitled "Paraperm® EW Lens for Extended Wear" by James E. Key et al., which was publicly available in Apr. 1989 in the United States of America (Exhibit J).

The publication of an article in Biomaterials, vol. 4 (Oct. 1983) entitled "Gas-to-Liquid permeation in silicon-containing crosslinked, glassy copolymers of methyl methacrylate" by Wu-Huang, Michael Yang, et al. which was publicly available in Oct. 1983 in the United States of America (Exhibit K).

The publication of an article in Macromolecular Symposia, vol. 98 entitled "Recent Advances in the Design of Polymers for Contact Lenses" by Gary D. Friends, et al., which was publicly available in Jul. 1995 in the United States of America (Exhibit L).

An article in The British Polymer Journal (Dec. 1976) entitled "Polymers in Contact Lens Applications IV, The 'Dissolved' Oxygen Permeability of Hydrogels and the Design of Materials for use in Continuous-Wear Lenses" by Chiong O. Ng et al., which was publicly available in Dec. 1976 in the United Kingdom (Exhibit M).

An article in the Journal of Japan Contact Lens Society, vol. 21, No. 5 (1979) entitled "Physical Properties of High Water Combined Lens Materials, Compared with Rabbit's Cornea" by Yujl Kosaka et al., which was publicly available in 1979 in Japan (Exhibit N).

The Medical Device Approval Letter, vol. IV, No. 1, which was publicly available on line through a number of databases including DIALOG and LEXIS in Jan. 1995 (Exhibit

The Medical Devices, The Diagnostics & Instrumentation Report—"The Grey Sheet", vol. 21, No. 4, dated Jan. 23, 1995 which was publicly available on line through a number of databases including DIALOG and LEXIS in about Jan. 1995 (Exhibit P).

The B&L US 510(k) application filed with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("USFDA") for the Premier 90 (Balafilicon A) contact lens which application was publicly available from the USFDA from Jan. 1995 (Exhibit Q).

CIBA Vision Corporation, a Delaware corporation vs. Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, a New York Corporation— Counter Claims—United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (Gainesville)-pp. 22-28 with Exhibit F—Apr. 19, 1999.

CIBA Vision Corporation, a Delaware corporation vs. Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, a New York Corporation— Memorandum in Opposition to CIBA's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and a Preliminary Injunction— Unites States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (Gainesville) pp. 2–17 with Exhibits A–F and J. In the Federal Court of Australia Victoria District Registry-Defence and Cross-Claim-No. V81 of 2000-Jun. 2,

Releasable 510(k) No. K972454—Aug. 8, 1997—Lenses, Soft Contact, Daily Wear.

Releasable 510(k) No. K944895—Dec. 8, 1994—Contact Lenses.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton, Esq.— Apr. 4, 2000—RE: CIBA Vision Corporation v. Bausch & Lomb Incorporated.

Letter from Mr. Edward W. Remus of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. to Kenneth L. Cage, Esq. at McDermott, Will & Emery-RE: CIBA Vision Corporation v. Bausch & Lomb Incorporated—Jun. 5, 2000.

Letter from Richard T. McCaulley Jr. of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd., to Michael O. Sutton at Sidley & Austin-RE: CIBA Vision Corp v. Bausch & Lomb Inc. Civil Action No. 99-0034-WCO-Jun. 23, 2000.

Letter from Kenneth L. Cage of McDermott, Will & Emery to Edward W. Remus at AcAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.—RE: CIBA Vision Corporation v. Bausch & Lomb Incorporated—May 25, 2000.

Letter from Kenneth L. Cage of McDermott, Will & Emery to Colleague—RE: CIBA Vision Corporation v. Bausch and Lomb, Incorporated U.S. Patent Reexamination Proceedings U.S. Patent Nos. 5,760,100: 5,849,811: 5,789,461; and 5,776,999.

Letter from Carol Kirby of The University of New South Wales to Mr. R. Hearhard at CIBA Vision Corporation—Jul. 7, 2000—RE: Rule 56 Inquiry—UNSW CCLRU.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton of Sidley & Austin to Kenneth Cage at McDermott, Will and Emer and to Richard Gearhart at CIBA Vision Corporation—Jul. 12, 2000—RE: CIBA vision Corporation v. Bausch & Lomb, Inc. (16008/ 00101).

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus, Esq.— Dec. 10, 1999.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus, Esq.— Dec. 14, 1999.

Letter from Edward W. Remus, Esq. to Michael O. Sutton-Dec. 17, 1999.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus, Esq.— Dec. 22, 1999.

Letter from Edward W. Remus, . to Michael O. Sutton—Jan. 3, 2000.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus—Jan. 4, 2000.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton—Jan. 6, 2000.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus—Jan. 12, 2000.

Letter from Russell Wheatley to Edward W. Remus—Jan. 31, 2000.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton—Feb. 2, 2000.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton-Feb. 7, 2000.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus—Feb. 10, 2000.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton—Feb. 18, 2000.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus-Mar. 23, 2000.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton—Mar. 30, 2000.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus—Apr. 3, 2000.

Letter from Michael O. Sutton to Edward W. Remus-Jun.

Letter from Edward W. Remus to Michael O. Sutton-Jun. 30, 2000.

B1 5,789,461

Document 1-8

Page 5

Weissman et al., "Cancellation of the Boundary and Edge Effects by Choice of Lens Thickness during Oxygen Permeability Measurement of Contact Lens", Optometry & Vision Science, vol. 66, No. 5, pp. 264-268, (1989).

Hamano et al., "A study of the Complications Induced by Conventional and Disposable Contact Lenses". The CLAO Journal, vol. 20, pp. 103-110, (1994).

Schein et al., "The Impact of Overnight Wear on the Risk of Contact Lens-Associated Ulcerative Keratitis", Arch. Ophthalmol, vol. 112, pp. 186-190, 91994).

Nilsson et al., "The Hospitalized Cases of Contact Lens Induced Keratitis in Sweden and their Relation to Lens Type and Wear Schedule; Results of a Three-Year Retrospective Study", The CLAO Journal, vol. 20, pp. 97–101, (1994).

Goyal et al., "Corneal Ulcers in Patients with Disposable Extended-Wear Contact Lenses", Ann. Ophthalmol-Glaucoma, vol. 26, pp. 194-199, (1994).

Schein et al., "The Relative Risk of Ulcerative Keratitis Among Users of Daily-Wear and Extended-Wear Soft Contact Lenses", The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 321, pp. 773-776, (1989).

Solomon et al., "Testing Hypotheses for Risk Factors for Contact Lens-Associated Infectious Keratitis in an Animal Model", The CLAO Journal, vol. 20, pp. 109-113, (1994). Poggio et al., "Complications and Symptons in Disposable Extended Wear Lenses Compared with Conventional Sofy Daily Wear and Soft Extended Wear Lenses", The CLAO Journal, vol. 19, pp. 31–39, (1993).

Poggio et al., "The Incidence of Ulcerative Keratitis Among Users of Daily-Wear and Extended-Wear Soft Contact Lenses", The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 321, pp. 779-783, (1989).

McRae et al., "Corneal Ulcer and Adverse Reaction Rates in Premarket Contact Lens Studies", American Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 111, pp. 457-465, (1991).

Grant et al., "Extended Wear of Hydrogel Lenses", Problems in Optometry, vol. 2, pp. 599-622, (1990).

Brennan et al., "Extended Wear in Perspective", Optometry and Vision Science, vol. 74, 609-623, (1997).

Winterton et al., "Coulometric Method for Measuring Oxygen Flux and Dk of Contact Lenses and Lens Materials", vol. 14, No. 11, 1987, pp. 441-449, (1987).

Winterton et al., "Coulometrically Determined Oxygen Flux and Resultant Dk of Commercially Available Contact Lenses", vol. 15, pp. 117-123, (1988).

Holden et al., "The Dk Project: An Interlaboratory Comparison of Dk/L Measurements", Optometry and Vision Science, vol. 67, pp. 476-481, (1989).

Winterton et al., "Coulometric Method for Measuring Oxygen Flux and Dk of Contact Lenses and Lens Materials", The Cornea: Transactions of The World Congress on The Cornea III, Raven Press, Chapter 47, pp. 273-280, (1988). Alvord et al., "Oxygen Permeability of a New Type of High Dk Soft Contact Lens Material", Optometry and Vision Science, vol. 75, pp. 30-36, (1998).

Holden et al., "Critical Oxygen Levels to Avoid Corneal Edema for Daily and Extended Wear Contact Lenses", Invesitgative Opthalmology & Visual Science, vol. 25, pp. 2-8, (1983).

Domschke et al., "Morphology Requirements For On-Eye Mobility of Soft Oxygen Permeable Contact Lenses", Business and Technology Innovation, Ciba Vision.

Kirk Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology (chapter on contact lenses), pp. 720-741.

SILSOFT® (elastofilcon A) Contact Lenses For Aphakic Daily and Extended Wear, Fitting Guide, pp. 1-5, Bausch & Lomb, Sep., 1989.

Edelhauser et al. 'Oxygen, calcium, lactate, chloride, and sodium permeability of soft contact lenses,' in: Gasset et al., 'Soft Contact Lens' (The C. V. Mosby Company, Saint Louis), pp. 37-43, 1972.

Refojo et al., 'Permeability of Dissolved Oxygen through Contact lenses I. Cellulose Acetate Butyrate,' 'Contact and Intraocular Lens Medical Journal,' vol. 3, pp. 27-33, 1977.

Declaration of Dr. David J. Heiler, 1999.

Translation of Japanese patent JP 6-122779, May 1994.

Designated "Highlighted" Pages of Deposition of Yu-Chin-Lai, Nov. 17, 1999 (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

R & D Clinical Report, with "Highlighted" Portions, Feb. 7, 1994 (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

Designated "Highlighted" Pages of Deposition of Paul Nicolson, Nov. 10, 1999 (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

Distribution with "Highlighted" Pages (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

Bausch & Lomb Incorporated's Opposition to CIBA Corporation's Motion to Permit Certain Attorney's to have access to Information Under the Stipulated Protective Order (with Exhibits A-J) (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

Defendant Bausch & Lomb Incorporated's Opposition to CIBA Corporation's Motion to Use Evidence from this case at the Reexamination Proceedings (with Exhibits A-J) (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

Memorandum in Support of CIBA's Motion to Use Evidence from this Case in the Reexamination Proceedings ("CIBA Motion") (with Exhibits 1-8) (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order-Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

Reply Brief in Support of CIBA Vision Corporation's Motion to Use Evidence from this Case in the Reexamination Proceedings ("CIBA Reply") (with Exhibits 1–7) (Note: This Information is Subject to Protective Order—Not Open to Public, Only by Examiner or Other Authorized Patent and Trademark Office Employee).

U.S. Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 5,034,461.

U.S. Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 5,451,617.

U.S. Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 5,486,579.

Mandell, R.., Contact Lens Practice, Fourth Edition, Springfield, IL, pp. 520-521 (1988).-

B1 5,789,461

Page 6

Lai, Yu-Chin, "The Role of Bulky Polysiloxanylalkyl Methacrylates in Oxygen Permeable Hydrogel Materials," ACS Workshop-Hyphenated Methods In Polymer Spectroscopy, *Polymeric Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 69, Fall Meeting, Chicago, IL, pp. 228–231 (1993).

Fatt, I., et al., "Measuring Oxygen Permeability of Gas Permeable Hard and Hydrogel Lenses and Flat Samples in Air," vol. 14, No. 10 (Oct. 1987) pp. 389–401(Oct. 1987).

Lai, Yu, et al., "Surface Wettability Enhancement of Silicone Hydrogel Lenses by Processing with Polar Plastic Molds," *J. of Biomed. Mat. Res.*, vol. 35:3, pp. 349–356 (1997).

Lai, Yu, et al., "Synthesis and Characterization of α,ω -Bis(4-hydroxybutyl) Polydimethylesiloxane," *Polymer Prerints*, 35:2, American Chemical Society (Aug. 1994).

45

1

REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 307

THE PATENT IS HEREBY AMENDED AS INDICATED BELOW.

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appeared in the patent, but has been deleted and is no longer a part of the patent; matter printed in italics indicates additions made to the patent.

ONLY THOSE PARAGRAPHS OF THE SPECIFICATION AFFECTED BY AMENDMENT ARE PRINTED HEREIN.

Column 11, lines 45-53:

An Ionoflux Diffusion Coefficient of greater than about $[6.4\times10^{-6}]~1.5\times10^{-6}~{\rm mm^2/min}$ is preferred for achieving sufficient on-eye movement. More preferably, the Ionoflux Diffusion Coefficient is greater than about $2.6\times10^{-6}~{\rm mm^2/min}$, while most preferably, the Ionoflux Diffusion Coefficient is greater than about $[1.5\times10^{-6}]~6.4\times10^{-6}~{\rm mm^2/min}$. It must be emphasized that the Ionoflux Diffusion Coefficient correlates with ion permeability through the lens, and thereby is a predictor of on-eye movement.

AS A RESULT OF REEXAMINATION, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT:

Claim 3 is cancelled.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 are determined to be patentable as amended.

Claims 5 and 8, dependent on an amended claim, are ³⁵ determined to be patentable.

New claims 9-15 are added and determined to be patentable.

- 1. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough; and
 - (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material,
 - whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an 55 amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
 - whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids,

 barrers and high ing the steps of:

 (a) forming a

 (1) at least base cu
 - wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye 65 with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and without producing significant corneal swelling, without

2

- having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact for at least 24 hours,
- wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized either by (1) an Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 0.2×10⁻⁶ cm²/sec or (2) an Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 1.5×10⁻⁶ mm²/min, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.
- 2. A method of claim 1, wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen [permeability] transmissibility of at least 87 barrers/mm.
- 4. A method of claim [3] 1, wherein said [intimate] continuous contact period is at least 7 days.
- 6. A method of claim 2, wherein said [intimate] continuous contact period is at least 7 days.
- 7. A method of claim [3] 1, wherein said [intimate] continuous contact period is at least 14 days.
- 9. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability equal to or greater than about 69 barrers and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough; and
 - (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material without lowering said oxygen permeability below levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health,
 - whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
 - whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids,
 - wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and without producing significant corneal swelling, without having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact of at least 24 hours, and
 - wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized by an Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 8.0×10^{-6} cm²/sec, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.
- 10. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability equal to or greater than about 69 barrers and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough; and

- (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material without lowering either said oxygen permeability or an ion permeability below levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement,
- whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
- whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount 10 sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids,
- wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye 15 with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and without producing significant corneal swelling, without having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact of at least 4 days, 20
- wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized by an Ionoton Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 250.0×10⁻⁶ cm²/sec, wherein said ion permeability is measured 25 with respect to sodium ions.
- 11. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability equal to or greater than about 72 barrers and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough; and
 - (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material,
 - whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
 - whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous intimate contact with ocular tissue and 50 ocular fluids,
 - wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and without producing significant corneal swelling, without having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and 55 without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact of at least 7 days,
 - wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized by an Ionoton Ion Perme-60 ability Coefficient of greater than about 350.0×10⁻⁶ cm²/sec, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.
- 12. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability equal to or greater than about 72 65 barrers, and high ion permeability and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:

4

- (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough;
- (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material by a surface treatment process without lowering either said oxygen permeability or said ion permeability below levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement; and
- (c) autoclaving said lens without lowering either said oxygen permeability or said ion permeability below levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement,
- whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous intimate contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
- whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids,
- wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and without producing significant corneal swelling, without having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact of at least 24 hours,
- wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized by an Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 6.4×10⁻⁶ mm²/min, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.
- 13. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability and high ion permeability and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough;
 - (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material; and
 - (c) autoclaving said lens without lowering either said oxygen permeability or said ion permeability below levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement,
 - whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
 - whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids,
 - wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and

5

without producing significant corneal swelling, without having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact of at least 24 hours,

wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized by an Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 6.4×10⁻⁶ mm²/min, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.

14. A method of forming a biocompatible lens having high oxygen permeability equal to or greater than about 72 barrers and high ion permeability and high water permeability, said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) forming a polymeric core material including:
 - (1) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for oxygen transmission therethrough, and
 - (2) at least one continuous pathway from front curve to base curve surfaces for water transmission therethrough;
- (b) altering the surface of said core material to produce a modified surface which is more hydrophilic than said core material by a surface treatment process without lowering either said oxygen permeability or said ion permeability below levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement; and
- (c) autoclaving said lens without lowering either said oxygen permeability or said ion permeability below

6

levels sufficient to maintain good corneal health and on-eye movement,

- whereby said lens allows oxygen permeation in an amount sufficient to maintain corneal health and wearer comfort during a period of extended, continuous intimate contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids, and
- whereby said lens allows ion permeation in an amount sufficient to enable the lens to move on the eye such that corneal health is not substantially harmed and wearer comfort is acceptable during a period of extended, continuous contact with ocular tissue and ocular fluids,
- wherein said lens having adequate movement on the eye with blinking to promote adequate tear exchange and without producing significant corneal swelling, without having substantial amounts of lipid adsorption, and without causing substantial wearer discomfort during the period of contact of at least 14 days, and
- wherein said ophthalmic lens has an oxygen transmissibility of at least about 70 barrers/mm and an ion permeability characterized by an Ionoflux Ion Permeability Coefficient of greater than about 6.4×10⁻⁶ mm²/min, wherein said ion permeability is measured with respect to sodium ions.

15. A method of forming a biocompatible lens as in claims 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 or 14 wherein said altering surface of said core material comprises plasma treatment.

* * * * *